



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/460,089	12/14/1999	SHMUEL SHAFFER	M-7917-US	1994

33031 7590 07/02/2003

CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.
BLDG. 4, SUITE 201
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

ZIA, SYED

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

8

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/460,089

Applicant(s)

BEDARD ET AL.

Examiner

Syed Zia

Art Unit

2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Syed Zia. (3) _____

(2) Justin Dillon. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 07/01/03

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 7, 8, and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- Applicant described the limitation of 'persistent' & non-persistent in claim 1.
- Examiner explained the difference in 'persistent' & non-persistent connection.
- Independent claim 1 was discussed in general.
- Examiner described some dependent claim rejection.
- Examiner proposed Broadness of independent claim was discussed.
- Examiner agreed to further limit the independent claim (after got client's approval) Examiner agreed to amend proposed amendment.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Syed Zia 07/01/03
Examiner's signature, if required